



Cyclopropanation

Enantioselective Synthesis of Cyclopropanes That Contain Fluorinated Tertiary Stereogenic Carbon Centers: A Chiral α -Fluoro Carbanion Strategy**

Xiao Shen, Wei Zhang, Lei Zhang, Tao Luo, Xiaolong Wan, Yucheng Gu, and Jinbo Hu*

Dedicated to Professor George A. Olah on the occasion of his 85th birthday

The unique bonding in the cyclopropyl group causes it to be an important structural motif that can be essential for biological activity, and hence, it is found in a large number of naturally occurring compounds.[1] Many well-established drugs in diverse therapeutic areas contain this prominent pharmacophore. Among them, Odanacatib (a cathepsin K inhibitor), Singulair (an antiasthmatic agent), and Ciprofloxacin (a broad-spectrum antibiotic) are a few examples.^[1] Fluorine, which has a small atomic radius similar to that of hydrogen, is the most electronegative element. Therefore, the incorporation of fluorine into a bioactive molecule, a change, which causes minimal steric alterations, often causes an increase in metabolic stability and an improvement in membrane permeation.^[2] In many cases, the replacement of a hydrogen atom with a fluorine atom in a drug molecule can result in a tenfold enhancement of its biological potency.^[3] In this context, monofluorinated cyclopropanes have become the subject of special interest because they combine the advantages of two known chemical leads, namely the strained ring and the fluorine substituent.

Several methods have been reported for the synthesis of racemic monofluorinated cyclopropanes.^[4] However, there have been very few successful syntheses of chiral monofluorinated cyclopropanes with high enantiopurity.^[4f,5] The enantioselective construction of a fluorinated tertiary stereogenic carbon center, which bears both fluorine and hydrogen atoms, in cyclopropanes is generally a challenging task because it is often difficult to sterically distinguish fluorine from hydro-

Previous work: $O \longrightarrow Ph$ $O \longrightarrow$

Fluorocarbenoid strategy: moderate yield, moderate d.r., low ee value

gen. [6] In 1992, Terashima and co-workers reported the

asymmetric Simmons-Smith reaction between CHFI2 and

an enantiomerically enriched alkene, a reaction, which

afforded a monofluorinated cyclopropane in 38% de (for

the fluorine-substituted stereogenic carbon center; see Scheme 1 a).^[7] Haufe and co-workers reported an asymmetric

cyclopropanation of β-fluorostyrene, which bears a fluorine

substituent in the terminal position; this reaction afforded the

fluorinated cyclopropane products in low yields, although the enantioselectivity of the reaction was not examined (see

Scheme 1b).[4f] Imura and co-workers also reported the

resolution of cis-2-fluorocyclopropanecarboxylic acid by

a microbial enantioselective hydrolysis of the corresponding

ester with moderate conversions albeit with high enantiose-

lectivity (see Scheme 1 c).[8] Therefore, the development of

a new and efficient protocol for the highly stereoselective

synthesis of cyclopropanes bearing a fluorinated tertiary

stereogenic carbon center is highly desired. Herein, we report

our recent success in tackling this interesting problem by

using a chiral α -fluoro carbanion strategy (see Scheme 1 d).

the transfer of a fluorinated carbanion or carbanion equiv-

alent to an electrophile, is one of the most important and

frequently used methods to synthesize fluorinated organic

Nucleophilic fluoroalkylation, which typically involves

F N₂CHCO₂Et Cu/Rh catalysts F ...CO₂Et (b)

Carbene strategy: low conversion, low d.r., ee value not examined

F COOR DSC 4011 strain COOH COOR
$$F$$
 (c)

Microbial resolution strategy: moderate conversion, high ee value

Chiral fluorocarbanion strategy: good yield, good d.r., excellent ee vallue

Scheme 1. Strategies for the preparation of cyclopropanes that contain fluorinated tertiary stereogenic carbon centers. Ts = p-toluenesulfonyl.

[*] X. Shen, Dr. W. Zhang, L. Zhang, T. Luo, X. Wan, Prof. Dr. J. Hu Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academy of Sciences

345 Ling-Ling Road, Shanghai, 200032 (China)

E-mail: jinbohu@sioc.ac.cn

Dr. Y. Gu

Syngenta, Jealott's Hill International Research Centre Bracknell Berkshire, RG42 6EY (UK)

[**] Support of our work by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20825209 and 20832008), the National Basic Research Program of China (2012CB215500 and 2012CB821600), the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the Syngenta PhD Studentship (to X.S.) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. John Clough of Syngenta at Jealott's Hill International Research Centre for proofreading of the manuscript.



Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201202451.

molecules. In the past several years, our research group and those of others have developed many nucleophilic fluoroalkylation reactions using α-fluoro carbanions generated from α-fluorinated sulfones.^[9] The phenylsulfonyl functionality was found to be a highly useful modulating group to tackle the "negative fluorine effect" (NFE) of α -fluoro carbanions. [9,10] However, an additional step was needed to remove the phenylsulfonyl group after the desired fluoroalkylation step.^[9] Therefore, we have been interested in seeking new removable modulating groups for designing efficient nucleophilic fluoroalkylation reactions in one pot, that is, the modulating group can be removed spontaneously during the one-pot reaction process.

Owing to their physiological and diverse chemical properties, sulfoximines, which contain a stereogenic sulfur center, have been widely used in organic synthesis.[11] Recently, the use of fluorinated sulfoximines as fluoroalkylation reagents has also attracted much attention. [12,13] However, there have been no reports on stereoselective fluoroalkylations using enantiopure fluorinated sulfoximines as the reagents. We envisioned that, because of the good leaving-group ability of the sulfoximine functionality, a Michael-type addition-elimination reaction could be achieved between the chiral anion of (R)-N-tosyl-S-fluoromethyl-S-phenylsulfoximine (2) and α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds (see Scheme 1 d). Importantly, the sulfoximine group could be removed during the subsequent one-pot cyclopropanation process. Therefore, the unique properties of the sulfoximine group offer excellent opportunities to design new fluoroalkylation

(S)-N-Tosyl-S-methyl-S-phenylsulfoximine (1) was readily prepared according to the literature procedures.^[14] The fluorination of 1 by using N-fluorodibenzenesulfonamide (NFSI) as the fluorinating reagent gave (R)-N-tosyl-S-fluoromethyl-S-phenylsulfoximine (2) in 72% yield (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Preparation of (R)-N-tosyl-S-fluoromethyl-S-phenylsulfoximine (2).

With compound 2 in hand, we first tested the reaction between the anion, which was formed in situ from the reaction of 2 and lithium hexamethyldisilazide (LiHMDS), and D₂O. Thus, under N₂ atmosphere, LiHMDS (1M in THF, 0.375 mL, 0.375 mmol) was added to a solution of 2 (98 mg, 0.3 mmol) in THF (3 mL), at -78 °C. After stirring the reaction mixture for 20 minutes at -78°C, the reaction was quenched by adding D₂O (0.5 mL); the resulting mixture was then acidified by adding CF₃COOH (0.3 mL). High diastereoselectivity (>99:1) was observed for monodeuterated product 2' (Scheme 3).

Encouraged by this result, we started to investigate the chiral fluorocarbanion strategy for the stereoselective synthesis of cyclopropanes that bear a fluorinated tertiary stereogenic carbon center. α,β-Unsaturated Weinreb amides

Scheme 3. Reaction of (R)-N-tosyl-S-fluoromethyl-S-phenylsulfoximine (2) with D₂O.

were chosen as the Michael acceptor, because of their wide synthetic applications.^[15] N-Methoxy-N-methylcinnamamide 3a was used as a model compound to study the additionelimination reaction under the carefully optimized reaction conditions, which are listed in Table 1. When we used LiHMDS as the base, the reaction took place smoothly in

Table 1: Survey of reaction conditions 1: Survey of reaction conditions.

O NTS
Ph S F
Ph S F
2) Solvent, 7, 2 h
Ph 4a

| Entry | 3 a/2/ Base | Solvent | T [°C] | Yield [%] ^[b] | d.r. ^[c] | ee ^[d] [%] |
|------------------|--------------------|---|--------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 1:1.2:1.5 | THF | RT | 80 | 91:9 | 92 |
| 2 | 1:1.5:1.5 | THF | RT | 96 | 99:1 | 93 |
| 3 | 1:1.5:1.3 | THF | RT | 90 | 99:1 | 94 |
| 4 | 1:1.5:1.3 | THF | -30 | 92 | 99:1 | 94 |
| 5 | 1:1.5:1.3 | PhCH ₃ | RT | 94 | 95:5 | 97 |
| 6 ^[e] | 1:1.5:1.3 | PhCH ₃ | -15 | 90 | 95:5 | 97 |
| 7 ^[f] | 1:1.5:1.3 | THF/PhCH ₃ ($\nu/\nu = 3:1$) | RT | 70 | 98:2 | 94 |
| 8 ^[f] | 1:1.5:1.3 | THF/PhCH ₃ ($\nu/\nu = 1:3$) | RT | 75 | 96:4 | 96 |

[a] Typical procedure: LiHMDS (1 m in THF or PhCH₃) was added to a mixture of 3a and 2 (0.2 mmol) in solvent at -78 °C. After 20 min, the dry-ice bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred at the corresponding temperature (T) for 3 h. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Determined by 19F NMR spectroscopy. [d] Determined by HPLC on chiral stationary phase column. [e] Stirred at $-15\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 4 h. [f] Yield was determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy with PhCF₃ as internal standard.

THF or PhCH₃. More importantly, we found that the relative amounts of 3a, 2, and base was crucial to the diastereoselectivity and enantioselectivity of the reaction (Table 1, entries 1-3). When the ratio of 3a/2/base was 1:1.2:1.5, the product was obtained with a d.r. of 91:9 and an ee value of 92% (Table 1, entry 1). When the ratio was changed to 1:1.5:1.5, the d.r. increased to 99:1 and the ee value was slightly increased (Table 1, entry 2). However, when the amount of LiHMDS was decreased to 1.3 equivalents, the ee value increased to 94% (Table 1, entry 3). When toluene was used as a solvent, the ee value was increased to 97%, albeit the d.r. was decreased to 95:5 (Table 1, entry 5). Lowering the reaction temperature did not improve the diastereoselectivity or the enantioselectivity (Table 1, entries 4 and 6) and the use of a mixed solvent system did not result in any improvement either (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). It is noteworthy that only one pair of diastereoisomers was detected amongst the products of the reaction, as determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy.

Eventually, we chose entry 3 (conditions A) and entry 5 (conditions B) shown in Table 1 as the standard conditions to study the scope of the reaction between α,β -unsaturated



Weinreb amides 3 and the sulfoximine 2. The results are summarized in Table 2. The reaction proved to be general and a variety of structurally diverse α,β-unsaturated Weinreb amides were successfully monofluoromethylenated by 2 to give the corresponding enantiomerically enriched monofluorinated cyclopropanes 4. For aryl-substituted substrates, the products were obtained in good to excellent yields (73-97%), excellent diastereoselectivity (96:4–99:1 d.r.), and high enantioselectivity (92-98 % ee) under conditions A. The reaction tolerates many substituents such as methyl, tert-butyl, methoxy, fluoro, chloro, and bromo groups (Table 2, entries 2-10). The heteroaryl-substituted Weinreb amide also reacted with the monofluoromethylenating reagent 2, thus affording the monofluorinated cyclopropane 4k in good yield (81%) and with excellent d.r. (99:1) and high ee value (93%; Table 2, entry 11). In addition, monofluoromethylenation of a naphthyl-substituted unsaturated Weinreb amide was also successful, giving the product 41 in 93% yield, 97:3 d.r., and 92 % ee (Table 2, entry 12). It is remarkable that the current fluoromethylenation reaction is also amenable to alkyl-substituted unsaturated Weinreb amide 3m, thus giving the major diastereoisomer 4m in 62% yield with 94% ee, albeit in a relatively lower d.r. (three diastereoisomers could be observed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy; Table 2, entry 13). Under conditions B, the reaction generally gave improved enantioselectivity (Table 2, entries 1, 2, 7, 8, 11, and 12), although the diastereoselectivity was lower (89:11–98:2 d.r.). The absolute configuration of product 4e was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray structure analysis (see Figure 1a), and that of the other products were assigned by analogy.^[16]




Figure 1. Single-crystal X-ray structures of product 4e and 6a. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are shown at 30% probability.

By using conditions A, we also attempted the present cyclopropanation reaction of α-methyl-α-fluoro-substituted sulfoximine $\mathbf{5}^{[17]}$ with $\mathbf{3a}$ and $\mathbf{3e}$ (Scheme 4). Despite the fluorinated carbon atom of reagent 5 being tertiary, both reactions were successful, affording the product 6a in 75%

Table 2: Fluoromethylenation of α,β -unsaturated Weinreb amides.

| | 3 | 2 | | | 4 | |
|-------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Entry | Product ^[a] | | Conditions ^[b] | Yield [%] ^[c, d] | d.r. ^[e] | ee [%] ^[f] |
| | F _w C |) | Α | 90 | 99:1 | 94 |
| 1 | | N,0 | В | 85 (90) | 99:5 | 97 |
| | Ę | 0 | Α | 95 | 98:2 | 94 |
| 2 | F | I'm N'O | В | 88 (90) | 94:6 | 97 |
| | | F _w o | Α | 97 | 98:2 | 96 |
| 3 | CI | - N O | В | 80 (90) | 96:4 | 96 |
| | 01 | t 0 | | | | |
| 4 | CI | - ''' _N | Α | 95 | 98:2 | 93 |
| | | F O | A | 95 | 99:1 | 98 |
| 5 | Br | Ting NO | В | 89 (93) | 96:4 | 98 |
| | | F O | A | 91 | 99:1 | 98 |
| 6 | Me | I \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | В | 80 (90) | 96:4 | 98 |
| | | F O | Α | 73 (83) | 98:2 | 93 |
| 7 | tBu tBu | N.O | В | 77 (93) | 96:4 | 97 |
| | | F ₀ | Α | 79 | 98:2 | 95 |
| 8 | MeO | N O | В | 73 (85) | 98:2 | 97 |
| | | F O | Α | 74 (90) | 99:1 | 97 |
| 9 | MeO | N.O. | В | 75 (84) | 96:4 | 97 |
| | OMe F |) | | | | |
| 10 | | N | Α | 75 (88) | 96:4 | 93 |
| | - O | | A | 81 | 99:1 | 93 |
| 11 | S | N,O | В | 89 (90) | 93:7 | 96 |
| | F | 0 | A B | 93 73 (75) | 97:3 | 92 93 |
| 12 | F | | Ь | 73 (73) | 89:11 | 93 |
| 13 ^[g] | , , , |) | Α | 62 | 75:19:6 | 94 |
| 1.5*** | / \ | N | | 5 2 | 73.13.0 | J -1 |

[a] For 4e, the absolute configuration was determined by single-crystal Xray structure analysis (see Figure 1 a), and the others were assigned by analogy. [b] Conditions A: see entry 3 in Table 1; conditions B: see entry 5 in Table 1. [c] Yield of the isolated major diastereoisomer. [d] The data in the parentheses refers to the yield determined by $^{19}\mathrm{F}\ \mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy with PhCF₃ as internal standard. [e] Unless otherwise noted, only one pair of diastereoisomers were observed and the d.r. was determined by 19F NMR spectroscopy. [f] The ee value was determined by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase column. [g] Three diastereoisomers were observed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy.

7074

Scheme 4. Preparation of cyclopropanes 6a and 6b, which contain fluorinated quaternary stereogenic carbon centers.

yield, 87:13 d.r., and 87 % ee and 6b in 70 % yield, 87:13 d.r., and 76% ee, respectively. The absolute configuration of 6a was determined by single-crystal X-ray structure analysis (see Figure 1b), and that of **6b** was assigned by analogy.

To show the high value of our present cyclopropanation (or fluoromethylenation) reaction in synthesis, the monofluorinated cyclopropane 4h was transformed into several other useful products (Scheme 5). Upon treatment with three equivalents of LiAlH₄ in THF at 0°C for 1 hour, compound **4h** was reduced to the aldehyde **7**, which was obtained in 83 % yield and with 96% ee after purification with column chromatography on silica gel. Reduction of 4h with LiAlH₄ in THF at 0°C in two separate steps led to the alcohol 8 in 70% yield without loss of optical purity. The optically pure monofluorinated cyclopropyl ketone 9 was also prepared in 77% yield and with 93% ee by treating 4h with 1.5 equivalents of PhMgBr in THF at 0°C for 1.5 hours.

Scheme 5. Synthetic applications of product **4h**.

In conclusion, with a chiral α -fluoro carbanion strategy, an unprecedented highly enantioselective reaction for the preparation of cyclopropanes containing fluorinated tertiary stereogenic carbon centers has been accomplished. To our knowledge, this is the first example of highly enantio- and diastereoselective monofluoromethylenation of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds.^[18] The reaction was shown to be general and a variety of structurally diverse α,β-unsaturated Weinreb amides were successfully monofluoromethylenated to give the corresponding monofluorinated cyclopropanes in good yield, with good diastereoselectivity, and with excellent enantioselectivity. The strategy was also amenable to prepare cyclopropanes containing fluorinated quaternary stereogenic carbon centers. The diverse applications of the reaction and its products illustrate the wide synthetic utility of the new procedure. It should be pointed out that, to our knowledge, (R)-N-tosyl-S-monofluoromethyl-S-phenylsulfoximine is the first homochiral monofluoromethylenation reagent. This type of novel chiral fluoroalkylation reagent is expected to find many applications in designing various other asymmetric fluoroalkylation reactions.

Received: March 29, 2012 Published online: June 11, 2012

Keywords: asymmetric synthesis ·

chiral fluoroalkylation reagent · cyclopropanation · fluorine · sulfoximine

- [1] a) "Biochemistry of the Cyclopropyl Group": H. W. Liu, C. T. Walsh in The Chemistry of the Cyclopropyl Group (Ed.: Z. Rappoport), Wiley, New York, 1987, p. 959; b) J. Salaün, Top. Curr. Chem. 2000, 207, 1.
- [2] a) H. J. Böhm, D. Banner, S. Bendels, M. Kansy, B. Kuhn, K. Müller, U. Obst-Sander, M. Stahl, ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 637; b) M. Sani, A. Volonterio, M. Zanda, ChemMedChem 2007, 2, 1693; c) S. Purser, P. R. Moore, S. Swallow, V. Gouverneur, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320; d) C. Fäh, R. Mathys, L. A. Hardegger, S. Meyer, D. Bur, F. Diederich, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 2010, 4617,
- [3] a) J. R. McCarthy, Fluorine in Drug Design: A Tutorial Review, 17th Winter Fluorine Conference (St. Pete Beach, FL, USA), 2005; b) J. R. McCarthy, Utility of Fluorine in Biologically Active Molecules, 219th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society (San Francisco, CA, USA), 2000.
- [4] a) D. Seyferth, R. A. Woodruff, J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 4031; b) O. Tamura, M. Hashimoto, Y. Kobayashi, T. Katoh, K. Nakatani, M. Kamada, I. Hayakawa, T. Akiba, S. Terashima, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3483; c) M. Kamada, I. Hayakawa, T. Akiba, A. Terajima, O. Tamura, J. Kobayashi, M. Hashimoto, T. Katoh, K. Nakatani, Japanese Patent 04327568, 1992; d) W. M. P. Johnson, G. Holan, K. E. Tarvis, Aust. J. Chem. 1986, 39, 271; e) A. Toyota, Y. Ono, C. Kaneko, I. Hayakawa, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8507; f) O. G. J. Meyer, R. Frohlich, G. Haufe, Synthesis 2000, 2010, 1479; g) W. P. Dailey, Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 5801.
- S. Hruschka, R. Fröhlich, P. Kirsch, G. Haufe, Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, 141.
- Several methods have been developed to construct fluorinated tertiary stereogenic carbon centers that are not in a cyclopropane motif, but mainly at the α position of a carbonyl group; for reviews, see: a) V. Gouverneur, O. Lozano in Science of Synthesis: Stereoselective Synthesis Vol.3 (Ed.: J. G. de Vries, G. A. Molander, P. A. Evans), Thieme, Stuttgart, 2011, p. 851; b) L. L. Cao, L. B. Gao, S. T. Ma, Z. P. Liu, Curr. Org. Chem. 2010, 14, 889; c) D. Cahard, X. H. Xu, S. Couve Bonnaire, X. Pannecoucke, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 558; for selected examples, see: d) P. Kwiatkowski, T. D. Beeson, J. C. Conrad, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1738; e) M. H. Sha, A. Katcher, A. G. Doyle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 15902; f) J. Erb, D. H. Paull, T. Dudding, L. Belding, T. Lectka, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7536.
- [7] O. Tamura, M. Hashimoto, Y. Kobayashi, T. Katoh, K. Nakatani, M. Kamada, I. Hayakawa, T. Akiba, S. Terashima, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3487.



- [8] A. Imura, M. Itoh, A. Miyadera, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1998, 9, 3047.
- [9] For reviews, see: a) C. Ni, J. Hu, Synlett 2011, 770; b) J. Hu, J. Fluorine Chem. 2009, 130, 1130; c) J. Hu, W. Zhang, F. Wang, Chem. Commun. 2009, 7465; d) G. K. S. Prakash, J. Hu, Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 921; e) W. Zhang, C. Ni, J. Hu, Top. Curr. Chem. 2012, 308, 25.
- [10] a) C. Ni, Y. Li, J. Hu, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6829; b) C. Ni, Ph.D. Dissertation, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry (China), 2008; c) C. Ni, J. Liu, L. Zhang, J. Hu, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 800; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 786.
- [11] For reviews, see: a) M. Reggelin, C. Zur, Synthesis 2000, 1; b) H. Okamura, C. Bolm, Chem. Lett. 2004, 33, 482; c) M. Harmata, Chemtracts Org. Chem. 2003, 16, 660.
- [12] a) C. Urban, F. Cadoret, J. Blazejewski, E. Magnier, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 4862; b) Y. Nomura, E. Tokunaga, N. Shibata, Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 1925; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1885; c) R. Kowalczyk, A. J. F. Edmunds, R. G. Hall, C. Bolm, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 768; d) S. Noritake, N. Shibata, S. Nakamura, T. Toru, M. Shiro, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 3465; e) N. V. Kondratendo, O. A. Radchenko, L. M. Yagupol'skii, Zh. Org. Khim. 1984, 2250; f) E. Magnier, C. Wakselman, Synthesis 2003, 565; g) M. L. Boys, E. W. Collington, H. Finch, S. Swanson, J. F. Whitehead, Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 3365; h) K. Adachi, S. Ishikara, JP 20030388769, 2003.

- [13] a) W. Zhang, W. Huang, J. Hu, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 10042;
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9858; b) W. Zhang, F. Wang, J. Hu, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2109; c) W. Zhang, J. Hu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 2799.
- [14] a) D. Craig, S. J. Gore, M. I. Lansdell, S. E. Lewis, A. V. W. Mayweg, A. J. P. Whitee, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 4991; b) D. Craig, N. J. Geach, C. J. Pearson, A. M. Z. Slawin, A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams, *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 6071.
- [15] For reviews on the synthetic utility of Weinreb amides, see: a) S. Balasubramaniam, I. S. Aidhen, Synthesis 2008, 23, 3707; b) J. Singh, N. Satyamurthi, I. S. Aidhen, J. Prakt. Chem. 2000, 342, 340; c) M. Mentzel, H. M. R. Hoffmann, J. Prakt. Chem. 1997, 339, 517; d) M. P. Sibi, Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1993, 25, 15.
- [16] CCDC 884158 (4e) and 884159 (6a) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
- [17] Compound 5 was prepared in 75% yield from 2 by using nBuLi as a base, MeI as a methylation reagent and THF as a solvent (see the Supporting Information).
- [18] In recent years, enantiostereoselective monofluoromethylation of α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds has been reported; for example, see: a) T. Furukawa, N. Shibata, S. Mizuta, S. Nakamura, T. Toru, M. Shiro, *Angew. Chem.* 2008, 120, 8171; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 8051; b) S. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Ji, H. Li, W. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* 2009, 4886.